# Operation and Performance of the CMS Silicon Tracker

**Manfred Krammer** 



Institute of High Energy Physics, Austrian Academy of Sciences

**The CMS Collaboration** 

Presented at *New Trends in High Energy Physics*, Alushta, Crimea, Ukraine, September 3 – 10, 2011

## Outline



- Layout
- Operation 2010/2011
- Performance
- Future Upgrades

#### Layout of the CMS Inner Tracker







#### **Barrel Pixel:**

3 barrel layers at *r* of 4.3, 7.3, 10.4 cm 11520 chips (48 million pixels)

#### **Forward Pixel:**

4 disks at *z* of ±35.5 and ±46.5 cm 4320 chips (18 million pixels) Modules tilted by 20° for better charge sharing





**Strip pitches:** 80 μm to 205 μm from in- to outside

#### Silicon thickness:

320 μm inner sensors 500 μm outer sensors different resistivities

In blue stereo modules: two modules mounted back to back rotated by 100 mrad (5,7 grad)

#### **The CMS Inner Tracker**



#### Some numbers:

#### **Pixel detector**

1 m<sup>2</sup> detector area 1440 pixel modules 66 million pixels

#### **Strip detector**

~200 m<sup>2</sup> of silicon sensors 24,244 single silicon sensors 15,148 modules 9,600,000 strips = electronics channels 75,000 read out chips (APV25) 25,000,000 Wire bonds

Industrial type of production in many laboratories worldwide.

Largest Silicon detector built so far !

#### **Barrel Pixel Module**





The barrel pixel consists of 768 modules (96 are half modules).

M. Krammer - Alushta, Sept. 5, 2011

#### Half Disc of the Forward Pixel Detector





Forward Pixel: consists of 672 plaquettes

M. Krammer - Alushta, Sept. 5, 2011

## An Inner Barrel Strip Layer

1111



## Insertion of the Strip Tracker

#### **Insertion of the Pixel Detector**





M. Krammer - Alushta, Sept. 5, 2011

## Pixel Operation 2010/2011



- Cooling: operated at +7,4°C → no problems
   In 2012 plan to got to -10°C, need to solve humidity problem within
   confined space during winter technical stop
- Electronic and power supplies → stable Lost only one sense wire
- Firmware: several updates implemented to cope with high multiplicity events from beam background, heavy ion events (larger event size), internal noise, etc.
- Efficiency: CMS efficient 92% (pp since restart March 2011) Pixel contributes with 6% to total inefficiency
- Pixel status: 96.9% functional ROCs (15324 from a total of 15840)

## **Pixel Performance - Resolution**



#### **Barrel Pixel**

Transverse and longitudinal hit resolution as a function of the cluster size and compared with simulation (PIXELAV).

Measured using pixel overlaps





## **Pixel Performance - Hit Efficiency**



Pixel efficiency versus layer:



M. Krammer - Alushta, Sept. 5, 2011

#### **Strip Detector Operation**



• **Cooling:** Double stage cooling system using C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>14</sub> (main chiller originally using brine). Temperature 4°C (until end of 2012).

Next long shutdown will be used for improvements (humidity in confined regions) to be able to operate at lower temperature.

Struggle to reduce leak rate.

Now with 5 lines closed (out of 90) low leak rate of about 0,5 kg/day achieved.



#### **Strip Detector Operation cont**

 Power Supplies: ~2000 power supply units located in experimental cavern. Failure rate at present 2 PSU/month
 → negligible impact on detector performance

4,50

4,00

3,50

3,00

2,50

2,00

1,50

1,00 0,50 0,00

-eb-00

• **DAQ:** up time 98,6% in 2011 (98,8% in 2010)







• Strip Detector Status:

Dead channels in SST 2,2%

## **Strip Performance - Signal to Noise**





**S/N ~ 20** for inner layers (300 μm thick Si) **S/N ~ 30** for outer layers (500 μm thick Si, 2 sensor modules)

M. Krammer - Alushta, Sept. 5, 2011

## Strip Performance - Signal Hit Efficiency, Hit Resolution



Strip efficiency versus layer:



## Hit resolution (for TIB and TOB detectors):



M. Krammer - Alushta, Sept. 5, 2011

#### **Probing Detector Description**



Knowlege of material distribution of the tracker is crucial for physics analysis. Use counting of photon conversions and nuclear interactions to probe material.



M. Krammer - Alushta, Sept. 5, 2011

## **Probing Detector Description cont**<sup>4</sup>



Compare data with simulation (ratio of counts data/MC):



Only central region accessible with this method.

#### Good agreement within 10%!

## Alignment – Comparison Data MC



Distribution of Median of Residuals



Comparison with MC ideal close within a few µm.

#### **Impact Parameter Resolution**



Track impact parameter resolution as function of the track  $p_T$ 



M. Krammer - Alushta, Sept. 5, 2011

#### **Momentum Resolution**



Resolution on transverse momentum measured using J/ $\psi$  mass line-shape. Tracks from J/ $\psi$  have on average a momentum of a few GeV. At this momenta the inner tracker dominates the momentum measurement.

Sensitive to:

- Knowledge of the tracker material
- Alignment
- B field
- Reconstruction algorithms



In general good agreement with MC (~5%, some deviation in the transition region of barrel to end cap).

#### **CMS Tracker Upgrade**



 Upgrade Phase 1 (mid of present decade) Exchange present pixel detector
 4 barrel layers, 3 end cap discs
 Subtantially reduced material
 CO<sub>2</sub> cooling, DC-DC converter, etc.

 Upgrade Phase 2 (beginning of next decade) Exchange Full Tracker
 New tracker to provide input for level 1 trigger
 Includes also new 3rd generation pixel detector

## **CMS Tracker Upgrade Phase 2**



#### **Requirements:**

• Cope with instantaneous luminosity of up to 5x10<sup>34</sup>

- $\rightarrow$  200 250 collisions per bunch crossing
- $\rightarrow$  keep occupancy of sensor elements below a few %
- Withstand a total integrated luminosity of > 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>

 $\rightarrow$  huge radiation fluence  $\rightarrow$  intense sensor R&D

 At high luminosity the CMS level 1 trigger (present scheme even with upgrades) will not be able to keep rates of e, μ and jets below ~100 kHz level (raising simply the trigger thresholds would decrease physics performance)

 $\rightarrow$  New tracker has to provide input for trigger level 1.

#### **Constraints:**

- New tracker has to re-use installed services such as cables, cooling pipes.
- Tracker material should be reduced despite additional requirements.

## **Modules for p<sub>T</sub> Discrimination**



Need for data reduction and track trigger requires local intelligence at the module level:

Two sensor elements (strips-strips or strips-pixels) spaced by ~1 mm. Strong CMS magnetic field displaces tracks significantly depending on  $p_T$ .



Call such objects "stubs"

Applying  $p_T$  cut of ~1 GeV reduces data rate by 1 order of magnitude.

## Two Approaches for $p_T$ Modules



#### Simple sensors plus wire bonds:



Connect strips from both sensors using wire bonds to one hybrid.

Only rφ measurement. Ok for outer modules.

In case of strip – pixel modules slightly more complicated.



#### Vertically interconnected sensors:



#### From "Stubs" to "Tracklets" to Tracks



Several tracker layouts under study – no conclusion yet

Track finding for level 1 trigger:

- + Combine pairs of stubs from double layers (seperated order few cm) → "tracklets"
- + Processing using FPGAs



In the layout studies a compromise is sought between trigger requirements (number of trigger layers) and physics performance of the final tracker and **material budget**.

 $\rightarrow$  use of dedicated software package to study different layouts

→ study feasibility of track trigger concept and implementation in CMS combined triggers

## Summary



- Largest Silicon detector commissioned
- Only minor problems during operations (biggest issue strip tracker cooling)
- Excellent agreement of detector description with real object
- Physics performance spectacular
- Two phase upgrade foreseen Large R&D effort ongoing to define layout, sensor materials and other components for full tracker replacement mid 2020.